

Charmless two-body decays

Ascelin Gordon, The University of Melbourne

representing the Belle Collaboration

ICHEP2002

24-31 July 2002

Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 -p.1/2



- Analysis Overview
- - $K^{+}\pi^{-}, K^{+}\pi^{0}, K^{0}\pi^{+}, K^{0}\pi^{0}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{0}, \pi^{0}\pi^{0}, K^{+}K^{-}, K^{+}\bar{K}^{0}, K^{0}\bar{K}^{0}$
 - BELLE-CONF-0219
- $B
 ightarrow
 ho\pi$ (3 modes)
 - $\rho^0\pi^+$, $\rho^\pm\pi^\mp$, $\rho^0\pi^0$
 - BELLE-CONF-0220
- $B^+
 ightarrow
 ho^+
 ho^0$ (1 mode)
 - BELLE-CONF-0255
- Conclusions

- $\rho^+ \rho^0$ analysis done with a 43 fb $^{-1}$ data set

- All other analyses done with a 29 fb $^{-1}$ data set.
 - A_{cp} measurement for hh modes presented separately.

\mathcal{B} B meson reconstruction

- B meson events are kinematically separated using the 2 variables
 - $\Delta E = E_B E_{beam}$

•
$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{ extsf{beam}}^2 - p_B^2}$$

- M_{bc} dominated by beam energy spread
- Incorrect mass hypothesis or incorrectly reconstructed *B*'s produce a shift in $\Delta E \rightarrow$ extra discrimination between modes.
- Use ΔE yield for BF calculation. M_{bc} for cross check.



Continuum Suppression

- Separate spherical BB events from jet-like continuum events
- Likelihood ratio. Usually consists of:
 - Modified Fox-Wolfram moments into Fisher discriminant
 - B Flight Direction
- Thrust: Angle between thrust axis of B candidate and rest of event ($\rho\rho$ mode)

Helicity of ρ ($\rho\pi$ mode) - follows a $\cos^2 \theta$ for $B \rightarrow PV$ modes





Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 - p.4/2

$\mathcal{B} \to hh$ introduction

- These modes contain enough information to measure all CKM angles.
- Many of these modes are dominated by V_{ub} tree and gluonic penguin diagrams
- Tree-penguin interference \rightarrow DCP violation

Features of the analysis

- Separate charged Ks and π s
 - High momentum PID using aerogel and dE/dx
 - Take account of cross-feeds in fitting.
- Reconstruct:
 - π^0 from $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$
 - K^0 from $K_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-$





$egin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{B} \to K\pi \end{array}$

- π mass hypothesis used $\Rightarrow \Delta E$ shifted by -45 MeV for K^{\pm}
- Extract signal yields with maximum likelihood fit.
- 4 components in the ΔE fits:
 - signal
 - continuum
 - cross feeds from other *hh* modes
 - rare *B* background (hatched histograms)
- Normalization of all components left to float





- Simultaneous fit $K^+\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^0$.
- Constrain cross feeds to what is expected from fake rates.
- $\pi^+\pi^0$: 3.5 σ significance.





- Hint of $\pi^0 \pi^0$ 2.4 σ significance.
- Provide upper limit.
- Last mode need for $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ isospin analysis.



Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 - p.8/2



No signal for the KK modes has been observed.



Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 - p.9/2

$\overset{\hspace{0.1cm}}{\underset{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{BELLE}}}{\hspace{0.1cm}}} Summary for B \to hh$

mode	$N_{\sf sig}$	Eff (%)	S (σ)	$BF/UL[10^{-6}]$
$K^+\pi^-$	218	31	16.4	$2.25 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.18$
$K^+\pi^0$	59	14	6.4	$1.30^{+0.25}_{-0.24} \pm 0.13$
$K^0\pi^+$	67	32	7.6	$1.94^{+0.31}_{-0.30} \pm 0.16$
$K^0\pi^0$	20	23	2.8	$0.80^{+0.33}_{-0.31} \pm 0.16$
$\pi^+\pi^-$	51	30	5.4	$0.54 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$
$\pi^+\pi^0$	37	16	3.5	$0.74^{+0.23}_{-0.22} \pm 0.09$
$\pi^0\pi^0$	13	13	2.4	< 0.64
K^+K^-	$0^{+3.2}_{-0}$	20	0	< 0.09
$K^+ \bar{K}^0$	$0^{+2.0}_{-0}$	17	0	< 0.20
$K^0 \bar{K}^0$	$0^{+2.9}_{-0.9}$	20	0	< 0.82



Motivation

- Probe CKM angles $\phi_2(\alpha)$ and $\phi_3(\gamma)$.
- Candidates for Direct and Indirect CP Violation measurements.
- Possibility of enhanced DCP through $\rho \omega$ mixing.

Features of the analysis

- PID on all charged tracks.
- \bullet e^- veto.
- veto B decays with D^0 , J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ into 2 charged hadrons.
- 𝒴 0.6 < M(ππ) < 0.95 GeV/c². 𝔅





$\mathcal{B}_{\text{BELLE}}$ $B ightarrow ho \pi$

Helicity requirement $|\cos \theta_h| > 0.3$

Backgrounds in fits

- Normalisation floated: signal, continuum, ρρ components
- Normalisation fixed (scaled by luminosity): $K\pi\pi^{(0)}$ component, hh components.



Charmless two-body decays



Helicity:





Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 -p.13/

$\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ Dalitz Plot



- Regions around polluted by D^0 and J/ψ decays vetoed.
- No background subtraction done on signal plot

Ascelin Gordon

 $\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$: Dalitz Plot



No background subtraction done on signal plot

Ascelin Gordon

	mode	N_{sig}	Eff(%)	S (σ)	BF/UL [10 ⁻	-6]
	$ ho^0 \pi^+$	24.3	9.6	4.4	$8.0^{+2.3+0.7}_{-2.0-0.7}$	
	$\rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	44.6	6.8	3.7	$20.8^{+6.0+2}_{-6.3-3}$.8 .1
	$ ho^0\pi^0$	-4.4	8.5	-	< 5.3	
Expe	riment	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 -$	$\rightarrow \rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp})$	$\mathcal{B}(B^+)$	$\rightarrow ho^0 \pi^+)$	R
		[10	$^{-6}]$	-	10^{-6}]	
Be	elle	20.8^{+0}_{-0}	5.0+2.8 5.3-3.1	8.0	$+2.3+0.7 \\ -2.0-0.7$	2.6 ± 1.1
Ba	Bar	28.9 ± 5	6.4 ± 4.3	24 :	$\pm 8 \pm 3$	1.2 ± 0.5
CLEO		$27.6^{+8.4}_{-7.4} \pm 4.2$		$10.4^{+3.3}_{-3.4} \pm 2.1$		2.7 ± 1.3

- The Ratio R is smaller then expected. (R is ~ 6 using tree level calculations).
- Nonresonant contribution not significant. We estimate < 4%</p>
- Time dependent CP studies underway for $B \rightarrow \rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$

$\overset{\scriptstyle }{\underset{\scriptscriptstyle BELLE}{\longrightarrow}} B^+ ightarrow ho^+ ho^0$

- BF's were expected to be small.
- Has only tree and electro-weak penguin contributions (gluonic penguins suppressed).
- Probe $\phi_2(\alpha)$.
- $B \rightarrow VV$ decay, polarizations of the ρ mesons can be either transverse or longitudinal.

Features of the analysis

- PID on all charged tracks.
- \bullet e^- veto
- $0.6 < M(\pi\pi) < 0.95 \, \text{Gev}/c^2
 for both ρ^+
 and ρ^0.
 </p>$
- Different MC reconstruction efficiency depending on polarizations of the ρ s.





$\overset{\scriptstyle }{\underset{\scriptscriptstyle BELLE}{\sim}} B^+ ightarrow ho^+ ho^0$

- This is the 1st example of a $b \rightarrow u$ vector-vector mode.
- Normalization of all components left to float in fit.
- $B\bar{B}$ contribution is consistent with MC expectation



Hatched component is $B\bar{B}$ Background

Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 -p.18/

$\overset{\scriptstyle }{\underset{\scriptscriptstyle BELLE}{\ }} B^+ ightarrow ho^+ ho^0$

Cross check of M_{bc} yields in both ρ^+ and ρ^0 sidebands.



Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 - p.19/



• $\pi\pi$ invariant mass distributions



Good agreement between Data and MC.



Simultaneous fit to background subtracted $\cos \theta_h$ distributions



Fit data with both helicity components ($\epsilon_{00} = 1.8\%$, $\epsilon_{11} = 3.3\%$).

Fit results: $H_{00} = 0.86 \pm 0.41$, $H_{11} = 0.14 \pm 0.23$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \mbox{mode} & N_{sig} & S(\sigma) & \mathsf{BF/UL}[10^{-6}] \\ \rho^+\rho^0 & 31 & 4.2 & 38.5 \pm 10.9^{+5.9+2.5*}_{-5.4-7.5*} \mbox{minant} \end{array}$$

* 3rd error is due to helicity mix uncertainty

Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

- Complete set of *hh* modes has been investigated. Significant signals in $K\pi$, $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^0$ modes. Upper limits on $\pi^0\pi^0$, *KK*.
- We have a new measurement for $B^+ \to \rho^0 \pi^+$, $B^\pm \to \rho^\pm \pi^\mp$ and upper limit for $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$
- First observation: $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ 1st example of a $b \rightarrow u$ VV mode. BF = $(38.5 \pm 10.9^{+5.9+2.5}_{-5.4-7.5}) \times 10^{-6}$ (Preliminary)
- Will update with 90fb⁻¹ of data that has now been taken.
- New prospects for observing CP violation with $\rho\pi$ and $\rho\rho$ modes.

$\overset{\scriptstyle }{\sim} B^+ ightarrow ho^+ ho^0$

Cross check of ΔE yields in both ρ^+ and ρ^0 sidebands.



Ascelin Gordon

Charmless two-body decays

ICHEP 26 July 2002 -p.23/

$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{B} & \to \rho \pi \end{array}$ Systematic errors

Source			$ ho^0 \pi^+$	$\rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$
Fitting	ΔE	shape	+3.45% -3.33%	+4.86% -6.01%
	Continuum	slope	1.1%	+5.62% -5.90%
	$B\overline{B}$	area	-	6.2%
	ho ho	area	0.8%	+0.96% -2.31%
	hh	area	+0.17% -0.18%	-
	$K\pi\pi$	area	+1.33% -1.34%	2.42%
Other	Tracking		3 imes 2%	$2 \times 2\%$
	PID		1.6%	0.4%
	π^0 reconstruction		-	8%
	Continuum Suppression		5.7%	3.8%
	Nonresonant contribution		3.2%	3.7%
Total			+8.53% -8.49%	+14.17% -14.86%

$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{B} & \to & \rho \rho \end{array}$ Systematic errors

Source	Error (%)
Tracking	6
PID	6
π^0 recon	7.7
Continuum Suppression	6
ΔE fit	+8.2 -5.4
$N_{B\bar{b}}$	1
Total	+15.35 -14.05